

CHAPTER 1

Partially Hyperbolic Dynamical Systems

Boris Hasselblatt

Department of Mathematics, Tufts University, Medford, MA 02144, USA
E-mail: boris.hasselblatt@tufts.edu
url: <http://www.tufts.edu/~bhasselb>

Yakov Pesin

Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail: pesin@math.psu.edu
url: <http://www.math.psu.edu/pesin/>

Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Motivation	3
1.2. Outline	5
1.3. Other sources	7
2. Definitions and examples	7
2.1. Definition of partial hyperbolicity	7
2.2. Examples of partially hyperbolic systems	12
2.3. The Mather spectrum	15
3. Filtrations of stable and unstable foliations	17
3.1. Existence and subfoliation	17
3.2. Absolute continuity	19
4. Central foliations	21
4.1. Normal hyperbolicity	21
4.2. Integrability of the central foliation and dynamical coherence	23
4.3. Smoothness of central leaves via normal hyperbolicity	25
4.4. Robustness of the central foliation	25
5. Intermediate foliations	27
5.1. Nonintegrability of intermediate distributions	27
5.2. Invariant families of local manifolds	28
5.3. Lack of smoothness of the intermediate foliations	30
6. Failure of absolute continuity	31
6.1. An example of a foliation that is not absolutely continuous	31
6.2. Pathological foliations	33

HANDBOOK OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, VOL. 1B

Edited by B. Hasselblatt and A. Katok

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved

7. Accessibility and stable accessibility	34
7.1. The accessibility property	36
7.2. Accessibility and topological transitivity	37
7.3. Stability of accessibility	38
8. The Pugh–Shub ergodicity theory	41
8.1. Conditions for ergodicity	41
8.2. The Pugh–Shub stable ergodicity theorem	44
8.3. Ergodicity and stable ergodicity for toral automorphisms	47
9. Partially hyperbolic attractors	48
Acknowledgements	52
References	52

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

1.1.1. Smooth ergodic systems The flows and maps that arise from equations of motion in classical mechanics preserve volume on the phase space, and their study led to the development of ergodic theory.

In statistical physics, the Boltzmann–Maxwell ergodic hypothesis, designed to help describe equilibrium and nonequilibrium systems of many particles, prompted a search for ergodic mechanical systems. In geometry, the quest for ergodicity led to the study of geodesic flows on negatively curved manifolds, where Eberhard Hopf provided the first and still only argument to establish ergodicity in the case of nonconstantly negatively curved surfaces [57]. Anosov and Sinai, in their aptly entitled work “Some smooth Ergodic Systems” [10] proved ergodicity of geodesic flows on negatively curved manifolds of any dimension.

With the development of the modern theory of dynamical systems and the availability of the Birkhoff ergodic theorem the impetus to find ergodic dynamical systems and to establish their prevalence grew stronger. Birkhoff conjectured that volume-preserving homeomorphisms of a compact manifold are generically ergodic.

1.1.2. Hyperbolicity The latter 1960s saw a confluence of the investigation of ergodic properties with the Smale program of studying structural stability, or, more broadly, the understanding of the orbit structure of generic diffeomorphisms. The aim of classifying (possibly generic) dynamical systems has not been realized, and there are differing views of whether it will be. Current efforts in this direction are related to the Palis conjecture (see [4]). A promising step towards understanding generic smooth systems would clearly be an understanding of structurally stable ones, and one of the high points in the theory of smooth dynamical systems is that this has been achieved: Structural stability has been found to characterize hyperbolic dynamical systems [2].

Structural stability implies that all topological properties of the orbit structure are robust. Of these, topological transitivity has a particularly natural measurable analog, namely, ergodicity. On one hand, then, robust topological transitivity of hyperbolic dynamical systems motivated the search for broader classes of dynamical systems that are robustly transitive [29]. On the other hand, this, and the fact that volume-preserving hyperbolic dynamical systems are ergodic (with respect to volume) may have led Pugh and Shub to pose a question at the end of [56] that amounts to asking whether ergodic toral automorphisms are *stably ergodic*, i.e., whether all their volume-preserving C^1 perturbations are ergodic. They later conjectured that stable ergodicity is open and dense among volume-preserving partially hyperbolic C^2 diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold.

1.1.3. Partial hyperbolicity In this chapter we aim to give an account of significant results about partially hyperbolic systems. The pervasive guiding principle in this theory is that hyperbolicity in the system provides the mechanism that produces complicated dynamics in both the topological and statistical sense, and that, with respect to ergodic properties,