

Local differentiable rigidity of some  
partially hyperbolic actions of higher  
rank abelian groups

**Anatole Katok**

(Penn State University)

joint work with

**Danijela Damjanović**

(EPDI–IHES)

## Actions of higher rank abelian groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l, \quad k + l \geq 2$$

PROGRAM: Establish **local differentiable rigidity** for broad classes of **partially hyperbolic algebraic actions** of  $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $k + l \geq 2$ , i.e. homogeneous or affine actions on homogeneous and double coset spaces for Lie groups.

The most general condition leading to rigidity phenomena (local rigidity, measure rigidity, cocycle rigidity, ...):

*(R) The group  $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$  contains a subgroup  $L$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that for the suspension of the restriction of the action to  $L$  every element other than identity acts ergodically with respect to the standard invariant measure obtained from Haar measure.*

For an action of  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ , ( $k \geq 2$ ) by automorphisms of the torus ( $\mathfrak{R}$ ) is equivalent to

- Existence of a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  which acts (with the exception of identity) by ergodic automorphisms, or
- Absence of non-trivial rank one factors.

Local differentiable rigidity is proved in

**D. Damjanovic** and **A. Katok**, Local Rigidity of Partially Hyperbolic Actions I. KAM method and actions on the Torus, [www.math.psu.edu/katok\\_a/papers.html](http://www.math.psu.edu/katok_a/papers.html)

## Weyl chamber flow on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ , $n \geq 3$

We consider another representative case of actions satisfying  $(\mathfrak{R})$ :  
generic restrictions of Weyl chamber flows.

We prove local differentiable rigidity by

A METHOD TOTALLY DIFFERENT FROM THE METHOD  
used for actions on the torus.

Let  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} SL(n, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ ,  $n \geq 3$  where  $\Gamma$  is a cocompact lattice.

The *Weyl chamber flow (WCF)*  $\alpha_0$  is the action of the subgroup

$$D_+ = \{\text{diag}(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}, t_1 + \dots + t_n = 0)\}$$

of positive diagonal matrices on  $X$  by left translations.

We identify  $D_+$  with the linear space

$$\{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_1 + \dots + t_n = 0\}$$

- *Lyapunov exponents* are linear functionals  $t_i - t_j$  on  $D_+$ .
- *Lyapunov hyperplanes* are the kernels of Lyapunov exponents, i.e. the hyperplanes.  $t_i = t_j$
- *Regular elements* of  $D_+$  are elements outside the Lyapunov hyperplanes.
- *Weyl chambers* are connected components of regular elements. Elements within each Weyl chamber have the same stable and unstable distributions and foliations.
- (Coarse) *Lyapunov distributions (foliations)* are minimal nonempty intersections of stable distributions (foliations) for different Weyl chambers.

## Lyapunov foliations for WCF

- $v_{ij}$  the elementary  $n \times n$  matrix with only one nonzero entry equal to one, namely, that in the row  $i$  and the column  $j$  and  $e_{ij}(t) = \exp tv_{ij}$ , the corresponding unipotent one-parameter subgroup in  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .
- $\mathcal{U}_{ij}$  the homogeneous unipotent foliation of  $X$  into left cosets of the subgroup  $e_{ij}$  so that for  $x \in X = SL(n, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ , the leaf of  $\mathcal{U}_{ij}$  through  $x$  is  $\mathcal{U}_{ij}^x = \{e_{ij}(t)x, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .  
These foliations are *Lyapunov foliations for the WCF*.
- $\mathcal{U}_{ij}$  commutes with the orbit foliation  $\mathcal{N}_0$  of WCF; the sum of their tangent distributions integrates to an  $n$ -dimensional foliation  $\mathcal{U}_{ij}^c$ .

## Generic restrictions and rigidity

- Two-dimensional plane  $P \subset D_+$  is *in general position* if it intersects distinct Lyapunov hyperplanes along distinct lines.
- Let  $G \subset D_+$  be a closed subgroup which contains a lattice  $L$  in a plane in general position.  
The restriction  $\alpha_{0,G}$  of WCF to such  $G$  is called *generic*.
- One can naturally think of  $G$  as the image of an injective homomorphism  $i_0 : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow D_+$ . where  $k + l \geq 2$ .

We prove LOCAL RIGIDITY FOR GENERIC RESTRICTIONS:

*Any perturbation of a generic restriction for a WCF sufficiently small in  $C^2$  topology is differentiably conjugate to a standard one which appears from a homomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow D_+$  close to  $i_0$ .*

Results for  $G$  actions follow easily from those for  $L$  actions.

# Strategy of proof

## Preliminary steps

1. Reduction to Hölder perturbations in the orbit direction for WCF;
2. Reduction to the problem of trivialization of cocycles over perturbations.

## Main step

Rigidity of Hölder cocycles over the perturbed action.

## Final step

Smoothness of transfer function for smooth cocycles and smoothness of the conjugacy.



## Reduction to Hölder perturbations in the neutral direction

- $\tilde{\alpha}_G$  a  $C^2$ -small perturbation of the generic restriction  $\alpha_{0,G}$ .
- Regular elements of  $\alpha_{0,G}$  are normally hyperbolic to the orbit foliation  $\mathcal{N}_0$  of the WCF.
- By the **Hirsch-Pugh-Shub** stability theory, the corresponding element of the perturbed action is normally hyperbolic to a Hölder foliation  $\mathcal{N} = h'\mathcal{N}_0$  with smooth leaves;
- $h'$  is a Hölder homeomorphism close to  $id$  it can be chosen smooth and  $C^2$  close to  $id$  along the leaves of  $\mathcal{N}_0$ .
- By commutativity  $\mathcal{N}$  is  $\tilde{\alpha}_G$  invariant.
- $\alpha_G := h'^{-1} \circ \alpha_{0,G} \circ h'$  is a perturbation of  $\alpha_{0,G}$  which preserves the leaves of  $\mathcal{N}_0$  and is  $C^2$ -small along the leaves of  $\mathcal{N}_0$ .
- *A priori*,  $\alpha_G$  is only Hölder transversally.

## Reduction to cocycle rigidity for perturbations

- A perturbation  $\alpha_G$  of  $\alpha_{0,G}$  along  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is given by a map  $\beta : \mathbb{G} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_+ : \alpha_G(a, x) := \text{diag}(\exp \beta(a, x)) \cdot x$ .
- $\beta$  is a *cocycle* over  $\alpha_G$ , i.e. for  $a, b \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $x \in X$   $\beta(a + b, x) = \beta(a, \alpha_G(b, x)) + \beta(b, x)$ .
- If  $\beta$  is cohomologous to a constant cocycle  $i : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_+$  i.e.  $\beta(a, x) = H(\alpha_G(a, x)) + i(a) - H(x)$ , then the map  $H : X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_+$  induces a conjugacy  $h(x) := H(x)^{-1} \cdot x$  between  $\alpha_G$  and  $\alpha_{0,G} \circ i$ .
- Thus Hölder conjugacy between  $\tilde{\alpha}_G$  and  $\alpha_{0,G}$  follows from HÖLDER COCYCLE RIGIDITY:

( $\mathfrak{C}$ ) *Every Hölder cocycle over a sufficiently small perturbation of  $\alpha_{0,G}$  in the neutral direction, which is conjugate to a  $C^2$  small perturbation is Hölder cohomologous to an injective homomorphism  $i$ .*

## Cocycle rigidity for generic restrictions

The proof of (C) is both motivated and based upon our proof of rigidity of Hölder cocycles for generic restrictions of WMF in

**D. Damjanović, A. Katok**, Periodic cycle functionals and Cocycle rigidity for certain partially hyperbolic  $\mathbb{R}^k$  actions, *Discr. Cont. Dyn.Syst.*, 13, (2005), 985–1005.

Unlike most earlier proofs of cocycle rigidity for hyperbolic or partially hyperbolic actions of higher rank abelian groups our proof does not use harmonic analysis. It relies upon

- the *geometry of Lyapunov foliations* and.
- the *classical description of generators and relations in  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$* .

- The action  $\alpha_{0,G}$  is **locally Hölder transitive**: any two  $\epsilon$ -close points on  $X$  can be connected by a broken path whose pieces lie in the leaves of the Lyapunov foliations (Lyapunov paths), and the length of the path is comparable to a positive power of  $\epsilon$ .
- *Coarse Lyapunov foliations* for the action  $\alpha_{0,G}$  are the same as for the whole WCF: one-dimensional unipotent foliations  $\mathcal{U}_{ij}$ .
- For every partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with the property that stable and unstable foliations are locally transitive one can describe a complete system of obstructions to trivialization of Hölder cocycles in terms of the *periodic cycle functionals* (PCF); **A.K.–A.Kononenko**. This modifies to actions of abelian groups using Lyapunov periodic paths.

Let  $\alpha$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^k$  action with the coarse Lyapunov foliations  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ . Let  $\beta : \mathbb{R}^k \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Hölder cocycle over  $\alpha$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$  denote the function  $\beta(a, \cdot) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_a$ .

Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $a \notin \text{Ker}\chi_j$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{F}_j(x)$  and  $\beta$  be a Hölder cocycle over  $\alpha$ . The  $\beta$ -potential of  $y$  with respect to  $x$  is defined by

$$P_a^j(x, y)(\beta) = * \sum^* f_a(a^k x) - f_a(a^k y), \quad (1)$$

where  $ax := \alpha(a, x)$ ,  $* := *(j, a) := -\text{sgn}(\chi_j(a)) \in \{+, -\}$ ,

$$\sum^+ := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum^- := \sum_{k=-1}^{-\infty}.$$

Given an  $\mathcal{F}_{1,\dots,r}$ -cycle  $\mathcal{Q}$  of length  $N$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N, x_{N+1} = x_1$  where for each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $j(i) \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  is such that  $x_{i+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{j(i)}(x_i)$ . define the *periodic cycle functional* on the space of Hölder cocycles over  $\alpha$  by

$$F_\alpha(\mathcal{Q})(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^N P_\alpha^{j(i)}(x_i, x_{i+1})(\beta)$$

Vanishing of the PCF on all cycles is equivalent to Hölder cohomology to a constant cocycle.

## THE KEY STEP:

The obstructions vanish on contractible Lyapunov cycles due to

- The invariance of the periodic cycle functional and,
- The algebraic structure of  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :

Presentation of the group found by **Steinberg** and

**Matsumoto's** presentation of the kernel of its universal central extension which allowed **Milnor** to describe continuous continuous  $K_2$  over the real and complex field.

The group  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is generated by unipotent elements  $e_{ij}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  subject to standard commutator relations:

$$e_{ij}(t)e_{ij}(s) = e_{ij}(t + s) \quad (2)$$

$$[e_{ij}(t), e_{kl}(s)] = \begin{cases} 1, & j \neq k, i \neq l \\ e_{il}(st), & j = k, i \neq l \\ e_{kj}(-st), & k \neq j, i = l \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the commutator; and the following extra relations:

$$h_{12}(t)h_{12}(s) = h_{12}(ts) \quad (4)$$

where  $h_{12}(t) := e_{12}(t)e_{21}(-t^{-1})e_{12}(t)e_{12}(-1)e_{21}(1)e_{12}(-1)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .



- Each one of the relations in (??) and (??) induces a  $\mathcal{U}$ -path at a point  $x \in X$ . Such paths we call *commutator* paths.

Any commutator path lies inside a single stable leaf of a certain element of the WCF. For this reason, such paths we also call *stable* paths for the WCF and hence for a generic restriction.

- By invariance the PCF vanishes on all stable paths.
- Vanishing of the PCF on the paths defined by (??) follows from **Milnor's** description of continuous *Steinberg symbols*.
- Thus PCF vanishes on all contractible paths and defines a homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_+$

Due to **Margulis'** normal subgroup theorem every homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathbb{D}_+$  vanishes;

this implies vanishing of obstructions on all Lyapunov paths.

## Hölder cocycle rigidity for perturbations

- *Persistence of local transitivity* of strong stable and unstable foliations under  $C^2$ -small perturbations for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms is a classical result of **Brin** and **Pesin**; this extends straightforwardly to (coarse) Lyapunov foliations for partially hyperbolic actions.
- *Description of obstructions to cocycle trivialization* in terms of the periodic cycle functional on closed Lyapunov paths for the perturbed action  $\tilde{\alpha}_G$ , and consequently for its conjugate  $\alpha_G$ .

- *Continuous canonical projections* of Lyapunov paths along the leaves of  $\mathcal{N}_0$  are defined for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mathcal{N}_0^x$ :

$$\mathcal{P}_{x,y} : \mathcal{CU}_x \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{U}}_y \text{ and } \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{x,y} : \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_y.$$

Here  $\mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_x$  is the collection of all closed Lyapunov paths for  $\alpha_G$  starting at  $x \in X$ ;  $\mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}} = \cup_{x \in X} \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_x$ ;

$\bar{\mathcal{U}}_x$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  are corresponding collections of Lyapunov paths which are not necessarily closed;

$\mathcal{CU}_x$ ,  $\mathcal{CU}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_x$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  are the corresponding collections of Lyapunov paths for the unperturbed action  $\alpha_{0,G}$ .

- Using the structure of closed contractible Lyapunov paths in  $\mathcal{CU}$  and continuity of  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{x,y}$  and of 1-parameter unipotent foliations we show that  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{x,y}(\mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_x) \subset \mathcal{CU}_y$ .
- The reverse projection  $\mathcal{P}_{x,y}$  is such that for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_x$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{x,y}(\mathfrak{p})$  has endpoints on the same leaf of  $\mathcal{N}_0$ . This defines a map  $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow D_+$ .

- $\mathcal{D}$  is invariant along the leaves of Lyapunov foliations for the perturbation.
- By the local transitivity of those foliations,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}\bar{U}_x)$  is a *closed subgroup* of  $D_+$  which does not depend on  $x$ .
- This subgroup must be discrete due to the smallness of the perturbation and due to the fact that  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$  takes closed paths to closed paths. Thus PCF for  $\tilde{\alpha}_G$  vanishes on all contractible paths.
- *Obstructions for cocycle trivialization* vanish for the perturbed action by similar arguments as before for the linear action  $\alpha_{0,G}$ .

## Smoothness of the conjugacy

*A Hölder map conjugating the action  $\alpha_{0,G}$  to its  $C^2$ -small  $C^\infty$  perturbation  $\tilde{\alpha}_G$ , is smooth.*

The transversal smoothness of the conjugacy follows from the non-stationary normal forms method and the rigidity of centralizers for extensions (M. Guysinsky–A.K).

Global smoothness is a consequence of the general fact that a function smooth along several smooth foliations whose tangent distributions with their Lie brackets generate the tangent space, is necessarily smooth.