

# WEAKLY MIXING BILLIARDS

by

E. Gutkin\* and A. Katok\*\*

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we make a modest new contribution to the study of dynamical properties of polygonal billiards using categorial approach.

In very general terms, the approach is based on Baire category theorem and on an approximation principle which says that if a Baire space  $B$  has a dense set of elements satisfying an approximate version of a certain property then it contains a dense  $G_\delta$  set of elements which possess that property exactly. Without trying to discuss here what properties can be studied that way, we refer to [K1] where categorial approach is developed systematically for various spaces of dynamical systems. In a number of cases categorial approach or its modification provide the only known way to establish the existence of dynamical systems with a particular property. Existence of ergodic billiards [KMS], [K2], discussion below, is a good example of such a situation.

Let  $P$  be a connected polygon in Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The billiard flow  $B_P^t$  is defined on the space  $Y_P$  of all unit tangent vectors to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with footpoints in  $P$ . It can be described as follows. A vector  $v \in Y_P$  with the footpoint  $p \in P$  moves with the unit speed along the straight line  $p + vt$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  until it reaches the boundary of  $P$ , then it instantly changes its direction according to the rule "the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflection" and continues until the next collision with the boundary and so on. If a vector hits a vertex of  $P$ , the flow is not defined after the collision. The billiard flow thus defined preserves the Liouville measure on  $Y_P$  which is the product of Lebesgue measure on  $P$  and the angular measure on the circle of directions. The set of vectors which eventually hit a vertex of  $P$  has Liouville measure zero so that from the point of view of ergodic theory the billiard flow is well defined.

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089; partially supported by NSF Grant DMS84-03238.

\*\*Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125; partially supported by NSF Grant DMS85-14630.

The phase space  $Y_P$  of the billiard flow is three-dimensional and in general very little is known about ergodic properties of that flow. About the only general statement of that kind is that the entropy of  $B_P^t$  is equal to zero. This is true not only for the Liouville measure but for any Borel invariant measure as well [K3]. However for certain classes of polygons more information is known. A polygon  $P$  is called *rational* if all of its angles are commensurate with  $\pi$ . For any rational polygon  $P$  the space  $Y_P$  splits into a one-parameter family of two-dimensional subsets  $Y_{P,\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{N(P)}$  invariant with respect to the billiard flow [ZK], [G]. Here  $N(P)$  is the least common multiple of the denominators of the numbers  $\frac{\alpha}{\pi}$  where  $\alpha$  runs over the set of angles of  $P$ . By appropriate identification, the set  $Y_{P,\theta}$  is made into a compact surface. Let us denote the restriction of  $B_P^t$  to  $Y_{P,\theta}$  by  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  and call it the *directional billiard flow*. The number of ergodic invariant measures for such a flow, which are not supported by periodic orbits, is bounded [S]. The flow  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  is not mixing [K4]. A recent fundamental result [KMS] says that for almost every  $\theta$  the flow  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  is uniquely ergodic. When the number  $N(P)$  becomes large, the surfaces  $Y_{P,\theta}$  become more and more uniformly distributed in  $Y_P$ . This sets the stage for the application of the categorical approach [K2], [KMS] which allows in particular to establish the existence of billiard flows ergodic in the whole space  $Y_P$ . This argument mimics an earlier similar argument [ZK] related to topological transitivity. It is still not known whether for a generic rational polygon  $P$  for most  $\theta$  the flows  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  are weakly mixing. "Most" may mean either a set of full measure or a dense  $G_\delta$ . In this paper we solve this question in the sense of category for certain classes of rational polygons. Namely, we consider polygons for which the number  $N(P)$  is equal to 2, 3, 4 or 6. Each of these classes contains a dense subset of so-called almost integrable polygons (see Definition 3 below) which do have non-constant eigenfunctions [G]. Within our classes the almost integrable polygons are characterized by rational values of some natural parameters. When denominators of those parameters go to infinity, the non-constant eigenfunctions become more and more oscillating and eventually disappear for polygons with irrational but very well approximable values of the parameters.

An interesting open problem is the existence and genericity of billiards which are weakly mixing in whole phase space  $Y_P$ . Let us fix the

topology of the billiard table  $P$ , i.e., the number of connected components of the boundary of  $P$  and the number of vertices on each boundary component. Let  $n$  be the total number of vertices. Let  $\tilde{P}$  be the space of all such billiard tables with topology given by parametrization by the coordinates of vertices.  $\tilde{P}$  is a non-compact manifold of dimension  $2n$ .

Theorem [KMS], [K2]. The set of all polygons  $P \in \tilde{P}$  such that the billiard flow  $B_P^t$  is ergodic is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\tilde{P}$ .

It is not difficult to see that the set  $\tilde{P}_{\text{mix}}$  of all  $P \in \tilde{P}$  for which  $B_P^t$  is weakly mixing is a  $G_\delta$ .

Conjecture. The set  $\tilde{P}_{\text{mix}}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\tilde{P}$ .

## 2. Preliminaries. Statement of Results.

For any polygon  $P$  we denote by  $U_P^t$  the one-parameter group of unitary operators on  $L_2(Y, \mu)$  corresponding to the billiard flow  $B_P^t$ . Here  $\mu$  is the (unnormalized) Liouville measure on  $Y_P$ . We assume  $\mu(Y_P) = |P|$ , which is the area of  $P$ .

The group  $G$  generated by Euclidean motions and dilations of the plane acts naturally on  $\tilde{P}$  and the quotient  $\tilde{P}/G$  can be identified with the submanifold  $P$  of  $\tilde{P}$  consisting of polygons  $P$  with a distinguished vertex at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the first side on the positive  $x$ -axis and  $|P| = 1$ . Clearly,  $\dim P = 2n - 4$  and, because the action of  $G$  is compatible with the flows  $B_P^t$ , it suffices to study those flows for  $P \in P$ .

We identify the set of directions  $\theta$  on the plane with the circle  $S^1 = \{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$  where  $\theta = 0$  corresponds to the direction of the positive  $x$ -axis.

Definition 1. A polygon is called *integrable* if it tiles the plane under reflections.

It is well known that the only integrable polygons are rectangles, the equilateral triangles, the  $\pi/2$ ,  $\pi/4$ ,  $\pi/4$ -triangles, and the  $\pi/2$ ,  $\pi/3$ ,  $\pi/6$ -triangles.

We fix an integrable polygon  $\Delta$  and denote by  $\Gamma$  the lattice obtained by tiling the plane by reflections of  $\Delta$ . For instance, if  $\Delta$  is the unit square,  $\Gamma$  is the square lattice.

Definition 2. A polygon  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is of  $\Delta$ -class if the sides of  $P$  are parallel to the lines of  $\Gamma$ .

For instance, if  $\Delta$  is a rectangle,  $P$  of  $\Delta$ -class means that the sides of  $P$  are either horizontal or vertical. In what follows we denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of polygons of  $\Delta$ -class ( $\Delta$  is fixed) satisfying the previous assumptions.

Polygons  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  are rational, i.e., their angles are rational multiples of  $\pi$ , hence, as we mentioned before, the flow  $B_P^t$  decomposes into the one-parameter family of directional billiard flows  $B_{P,\theta}^t$ ,  $[Z-K]$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/N(\Delta)$ , where  $N(\Delta) = 2, 3, 4$  or  $6$  depending on the type of  $\Delta$  (see above). The flows  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  for  $0 < \theta < \pi/N(\Delta)$  live on the surface  $S_P$ , which is tiled by  $2N(\Delta)$  copies of  $P$ , and preserve the Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  on  $S_P$ .

Let  $e, f$  be a pair of generators of  $\Gamma$ . A direction  $\theta$  is called *irrational* (resp. *rational*) if for a vector  $pe + qf$  in direction  $\theta$  the ratio  $p/q$  is irrational (resp. rational). The definition does not depend on the choices involved.

Definition 3 [G]. A polygon  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is called *almost integrable* if it is homothetical to a polygon drawn on the lattice  $\Gamma$ .

The set  $\mathcal{P}_I$  of almost integrable polygons is dense in  $\mathcal{P}$ . For an almost integrable polygon  $P$  the flow  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  is ergodic if  $\theta$  is irrational and periodic if  $\theta$  is rational [G].

By *combinatorics* of a connected polygon  $P$  we will mean the following: The number of connected components of the boundary of  $P$ , the number of vertices and the angle at each vertex. Let  $n$  be the total number of vertices for polygons in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Now we can formulate the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let  $\Delta$  be an integrable polygon and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the manifold of polygons of  $\Delta$ -class with fixed combinatorics. For any direction  $\theta$

denote by  $P_{\text{mix}}(\theta) \subset P$  the set of polygons  $P$  such that the flow  $B_{P,\theta}^{\dagger}$  is weakly mixing. Then

- i) Let  $\Delta$  be a rectangle and  $n > 4$ . For any  $\theta \neq 0, \pi/2$  the set  $P_{\text{mix}}(\theta)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $P$ .
- ii) Let  $\Delta$  be a triangle and  $n > 3$ . For any irrational direction  $\theta$  the set  $P_{\text{mix}}(\theta)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ .

**Definition 4.** A polygon  $M$  with  $2n$  sides  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$  is called *matched* if there are  $n$  parallel translations  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  such that  $b_j = g_j a_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

For every direction  $\theta$  we define the *linear flow*  $L_{M,\theta}^{\dagger}$  in direction  $\theta$  on  $M$  as follows. A point in  $M$  flows in direction  $\theta$  with the unit speed until it reaches the boundary of  $M$ . If this happens on  $a_j$  (resp.  $b_j$ ), the point gets transferred to the side  $b_j$  (resp.  $a_j$ ) by the translation  $g_j$  (resp.  $g_j^{-1}$ ) and continues to move in the same direction. The Lebesgue measure on  $M$  is preserved by the flows  $L_{M,\theta}^{\dagger}$ .

In what follows we normalize our matched polygons  $M$  by requiring that the sides  $a_1, b_1$  be horizontal.

**Definition 5.** A matched polygon  $M$  is called *elementary of type  $\alpha$* ,  $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/2$ , if  $M$  has only horizontal sides and sides making angle  $\alpha$  with the  $x$ -axis.

We fix  $\alpha$  and denote by  $M$  the set of elementary matched polygons of type  $\alpha$  with a fixed number  $4n$  of sides and a fixed combinatorics. The set  $M$  endowed with its natural topology is a manifold. The following theorem is a close counterpart of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n > 1$ . For any direction  $\theta$  the set  $M_{\text{mix}}(\theta)$  of polygons  $M \in M$  such that the flow  $L_{M,\theta}^{\dagger}$  is weakly mixing is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ .

The reader should keep in mind that an elementary matched polygon  $M$  with  $4n$  sides can have less than  $4n$  geometric vertices. A gnomon, for instance, has 8 sides and 6 geometric vertices. In other words, some of the angles of  $M$  may be equal to  $\pi$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 are derived via categorical approach from a result which describes the discrete spectrum of linear flows in almost integrable polygons. We need more definitions to state the corresponding theorem.

Definition 6. We say that an elementary matched polygon  $M$  of type  $\alpha$  is modelled on the parallelogram  $A$  if  $M$  is tiled by translated copies of  $A$  and  $A$  is a maximal parallelogram to tile  $M$ . In what follows we simply say that  $M$  is modelled on  $A$ .

Definition 7. Let  $A$  be a parallelogram spanned by  $e$  and  $f$ . A direction  $\theta$  is called *irrational* (resp. *rational*) with respect to  $A$  if for a vector  $ae + bf$  in direction  $\theta$  the number  $a/b$  is irrational (resp. rational).

Let  $M$  be modelled on  $A$  and let  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , be the copies of  $A$  tiling  $M$ . For any  $i \in I$  we identify functions on  $A_i$  and  $A$ .

Definition 8. Let notation be as above. A function  $f$  on  $M$  is called *A-periodic* if the restrictions of  $f$  on  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , are all equal.

We denote by  $L_2^{(d)}(M)$  the Hilbert space of square integrable (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) *A-periodic* functions on  $M$ . By a natural isomorphism,  $L_2^{(d)}(M) = L_2(A)$ .

Theorem 3 (cf. [G], Theorem 3). Fix a parallelogram  $A$  and let  $M$  be a polygon modelled on  $A$ . Then

i) The flow  $L_{M,\theta}^t$  is uniquely ergodic if  $\theta$  is irrational and periodic otherwise.

ii) For any irrational direction  $\theta$  the discrete spectrum component of  $L_2(M)$  for the flow  $L_{M,\theta}^t$  is the space  $L_2^d(M)$  of *A-periodic* functions. The identification  $L_2^d(M) = L_2(A)$  induces a natural isomorphism of  $L_{M,\theta}^t$  restricted to  $L_2^d(M)$  with  $L_{A,\theta}^t$ .

Consider the space  $\mathcal{Q} = P \times S^1$  of pairs  $(P,\theta)$  where  $P$  is the space of polygons of  $\Delta$ -class. We want to show that for a typical pair  $(P,\theta)$  the flow  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  is weakly mixing.

Theorem 4. Let  $P$  be the space of polygons of  $\Delta$ -class ( $\Delta$  is fixed) and let  $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}}$  be the set of pairs  $Q = (P,\theta)$  such that  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  is weakly mixing. Then  $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

### 3. Proofs.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let  $M$  be any matched polygon with the pairs  $a_i, b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , of parallel sides. Identifying  $a_i$  with  $b_i$  for all  $i$  we obtain a closed surface  $S_M$  and the flows  $L_{M, \theta}^t$  live on  $S_M$ .

The surface  $S_A$  corresponding to a parallelogram  $A$  is a torus and the flow  $L_{A, \theta}^t$  is the linear flow in direction  $\theta$  on the torus  $S_A$ .

The tiling of  $M$  by copies of  $A$  defines the projection  $p: S_M \rightarrow S_A$  which commutes with the flows  $L_{M, \theta}^t$  and  $L_{A, \theta}^t$  for all  $\theta$ . Now we are in the setting of Theorem 3 of [G] and we refer the reader to the proof of that theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. We consider polygons  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  of area one such that  $(0,0)$  is a vertex of  $M$  and obviously it suffices to prove the assertion for the manifold (denoted again by  $\mathcal{M}$ ) of polygons satisfying these conditions.

Fix a direction  $\theta$  and choose a parallelogram  $A_\theta$ ,  $|A_\theta| = 1$ , with angle  $\alpha$  such that  $\theta$  is irrational with respect to  $A_\theta$ . Denote by  $(x,y)$  the linear coordinates defined by  $A_\theta$  so that  $A_\theta = \{(x,y): 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$ . Let  $e_0$  and  $f_0$  be the vectors spanning  $A_\theta$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the set of parallelograms  $A$  spanned by  $e = re_0$  and  $f = sf_0$  where  $r$  and  $s$  are rational and let  $M_I \subset \mathcal{M}$  be the subset of polygons modelled on  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $a_i, b_i$  and  $c_i, d_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be respectively the pairs of horizontal sides and the sides forming angle  $\alpha$  with horizontal direction. Then  $M \in M_I$  if and only if the numbers  $|a_i|/|e_0|$ ,  $|c_i|/|f_0|$  are rational for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Here the absolute value sign denotes the length of a vector. From now until the end of the proof we delete  $\theta$  from notation.

We denote by  $1_M$  the indicator function  $M$ . We have the natural embedding  $L_2(M) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and the projection  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  on  $L_2(M)$  given by  $f \rightarrow 1_M f$ . Using this we extend the flows  $L_M^t$  and the unitary groups  $U_M^t$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  respectively by identity on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus M$ . We use the same symbols for the extended  $L_M^t$  and  $U_M^t$  and denote by  $\langle f, g \rangle$  the scalar product in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

The flow  $L_M^t$  is weakly mixing if for any  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the function  $t \rightarrow \langle U_M^t f, 1_M f \rangle$  strongly converges in the sense of Cesaro (see [H] or [W]) to  $|\langle f, 1_M \rangle|^2$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . We will need the following.

Lemma 1. For any  $f, g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , any  $t$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  the set of polygons  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$|\langle U_M^t f, 1_M g \rangle - \langle f, 1_M \rangle \langle 1_M, g \rangle| < \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

is open in  $M$ .

Proof. For any  $t$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  the functions  $M \rightarrow \langle f, 1_M \rangle$  and  $M \rightarrow \langle U_M^t f, 1_M g \rangle$  are continuous on  $M$ .  $\square$

We choose a dense in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  sequence  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and for any  $t$  and  $N \geq 1$  denote by  $M_{t,N} \subset M$  the set of polygons  $M$  such that for  $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$|\langle U_M^t f_i, 1_M f_i \rangle - |\langle f_i, 1_M \rangle|^2| < 1/N. \quad (2)$$

In view of Lemma 1,  $M_{t,N}$  is open in  $M$  for any  $t$  and  $N$  and we set

$M_N = \bigcup_t M_{t,N}$ . Thus,  $M_N$  is open and  $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} M_N$  is a  $G_\delta$ . We claim that  $M_{\text{mix}} = \bigcap_N M_N$ .

If  $M \in M_{\text{mix}}$  then (cf. [H] or [W]) for any  $f, g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  there is a set  $T_{f,g}$  of density one in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\langle U_M^t f, 1_M g \rangle$  converges to  $\langle f, 1_M \rangle \langle 1_M, g \rangle$  when  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $T_{f,g}$ . Intersection of a finite number of sets of density one has density one, hence nonempty, therefore  $M_{\text{mix}} \subset \bigcap_N M_N$ .

Assume that the opposite inclusion fails, i.e., that there exists  $M \in (\bigcap_N M_N) \setminus M_{\text{mix}}$ . Then there is an eigenfunction  $f \in L_2(M)$  of

$U_M^t$  such that  $\langle f, 1_M \rangle = 0$  and  $\|f\| = 1$ . Let  $U_M^t f = \exp(\sqrt{-1} at)f$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $f_1$  be such that  $\|f - f_1\| < \varepsilon$ . For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$U_M^t f_1 = U_M^t(f_1 - f) + U_M^t f = U_M^t(f_1 - f) + \exp(\sqrt{-1} at)f.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_M^t f_1, 1_M f_1 \rangle &= \langle U_M^t(f_1 - f) + \exp(\sqrt{-1} at)f, 1_M(f_1 - f) + f \rangle \\ &= \langle U_M^t(f_1 - f), 1_M(f_1 - f) \rangle + 2 \exp(\sqrt{-1} at) \operatorname{Re} \langle (f_1 - f), f \rangle + \exp(\sqrt{-1} at) \end{aligned}$$



which implies the estimate

$$|\langle U_M^t f_i, 1_M f_i \rangle - \exp(\sqrt{-1} at)| < 2\epsilon + \epsilon^2. \quad (3)$$

Since  $|\langle f_i, 1_M \rangle| = |\langle f_i - f, 1_M \rangle| < \epsilon$ , we have for any  $t$

$$|\langle U_M^t f_i, 1_M f_i \rangle - |\langle f_i, 1_M \rangle|^2| > |\langle U_M^t f_i, 1_M f_i \rangle| - \quad (4)$$

$$|\langle f_i, 1_M \rangle|^2 > 1 - 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon^2.$$

Taking  $\epsilon$  small enough in (4) we find an index  $i$  such that

$$|\langle U_M^t f_i, 1_M f_i \rangle - |\langle f_i, 1_M \rangle|^2| > \frac{1}{2} \quad (5)$$

for all  $t$ . Hence, for  $N = i + 1$ ,  $M \notin M_N$  in contradiction to the assumption.

We have shown that  $M_{\text{mix}} = \bigcap_n M_n$  is a  $G_\delta$ .

It remains to show that  $M_{\text{mix}}$  is dense. For  $M \in M_I$  denote by  $p(M)$  and  $q(M)$  the least common denominators of  $|a_i|/|e_0|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $|c_i|/|f_0|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  respectively. If  $p(M) = p$  and  $q(M) = q$ ,  $M$  is tiled by copies of the parallelogram  $A_{p,q}$  spanned by  $e = e_0/p$  and  $f = f_0/q$ . Denote the parallelogram  $\{xe_0 + yf_0 : |x|, |y| \leq N\}$  by  $B_N$ .

For  $M \in M_I$  denote by  $P_M^d$  (resp  $P_M^c$ ) the projection on the nontrivial discrete spectrum, i.e., on the discrete spectrum inside the space  $L_2(M)$  (resp. continuous spectrum) of  $U_M^t$ . Let  $p(M) = p$ ,  $q(M) = q$  and let  $M \subset B_N$ . By Theorem 3  $(P_M^d f)(x, y) =$

$$(pq)^{-1} \sum_{i=-pN}^{pN} \sum_{j=-qN}^{qN} (1_M f)(x+i/p, y+j/q). \quad (6)$$

Denote  $(x, y)$  by  $z$  and  $(i/p, j/q)$  by  $e_{ij}$ . We rewrite (6) as

$$(P_M^d f)(z) = (pq)^{-1} \sum_{i,j} (1_M f)(z + e_{ij}). \quad (7)$$

Denote  $P_M^d f$  by  $g$ . Since  $g$  is  $A_{p,q}$ -periodic, for any  $z \in M$  there exists  $u \in A_{p,q}$  such that  $g(z) = g(u)$ . Thus, for any  $z, z' \in M$  there are  $u, u' \in A_{p,q}$  so that

$$g(z) - g(z') = g(u) - g(u') = (pq)^{-1} \sum_{i,j} f(u + e_{ij}) - f(u' + e_{ij}) \quad (8)$$

where the summation is over such pairs  $(i, j)$  that  $e_{ij} \in M$ .

Let  $f$  be a continuous function supported on  $B_N$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|f(z) - f(z')| < \varepsilon$  if  $|z - z'| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and assume that the diameter of  $A_{p,q}$  is less than  $\delta(\varepsilon)$ . Then  $|(u + e_{ij}) - (u' + e_{ij})| < \varepsilon$  and, by (8), for any  $z, z' \in M$

$$|g(z) - g(z')| < \varepsilon. \quad (9)$$

Integrating (9) over  $M$  we obtain that for any  $z \in M$

$$|g(z) - \int_M g(\xi) d\mu(\xi)| < \varepsilon. \quad (10)$$

Since  $g$  is obtained from  $1_M f$  by averaging

$$\int_M g(z) d\mu(z) = \int_M f(z) d\mu(z) = \langle f, 1_M \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Recalling that  $g = P_M^d f$  we have, by (10) and (11)

$$\|P_M^d f - \langle f, 1_M \rangle 1_M\|_u < \varepsilon \quad (12)$$

where  $\|\varphi\|_u = \max |\varphi(z)|$  over  $z \in B_N$ . Denote by  $\|\varphi\|$  the  $L_2$ -norm. If  $\varphi$  is supported on  $M$ ,  $\|\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|_u$  and, by (12)

$$\|P_M^d f - \langle f, 1_M \rangle 1_M\| < \varepsilon. \quad (13)$$

We choose a dense in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  sequence of continuous functions  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\text{supp } f_i \subset B_i$  and let  $M_N$ ,  $N = 1, \dots$  be the corresponding sequence of open sets in  $M$  where  $M_{\text{mix}} = \bigcap_N M_N$ . For any  $N$  we can find  $\delta_N > 0$  such that  $|z - z'| < \delta_N$  implies  $|f_i(z) - f_i(z')| < (2N \max_{i \leq N} \|f_i\|)^{-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

Let  $M \in M_I$  be contained in  $B_N$  and assume that  $\text{diam } A_{p(M), q(M)} < \delta_N$ . Then for any  $f$

$$\langle U_M^t f, 1_M f \rangle - |\langle f, 1_M \rangle|^2 =$$

$$\langle U_M^t [(P_M^d f - \langle f, 1_M \rangle 1_M) + \langle f, 1_M \rangle 1_M + P_M^C f], 1_M f \rangle - |\langle f, 1_M \rangle|^2 = \quad (14)$$

$$\langle U_M^t (P_M^d f - \langle f, 1_M \rangle 1_M), 1_M f \rangle + \langle U_M^t P_M^C, P_M^C f \rangle.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, N$  there exists a set  $T_i \subset \mathbb{R}$  of density one such that

$|\langle U_M^t P_M^C f_i, P_M^C f_i \rangle| < 1/2N$  if  $t \in T_i$ . Hence for  $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N T_i$  which is nonempty,  $|\langle U_M^t P_M^C f_i, P_M^C f_i \rangle| < 1/2N$  for all  $i \leq N$ . By (13), for any  $i \leq N$  and any  $t$

$$|\langle U_M^t (P_M^d f_i - \langle f_i, 1_M \rangle 1_M), 1_M f_i \rangle| < (2N \max_{i \leq N} \|f_i\|)^{-1} \|1_M f_i\| \leq 1/2N. \quad (15)$$

Hence, (14) implies that for  $i \leq N$  and  $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N T_i$

$$|\langle U_M^t f_i, 1_M f_i \rangle - |\langle f_i, 1_M \rangle|^2| < 2(2N)^{-1} = 1/N \quad (16)$$

thus,  $M \in M_N$ . Polygons

$$\{M \in M_I : M \subset B_N \text{ and } \text{diam } A_{P(M)}, Q(M) < \delta_N\}$$

are dense in the set  $X_N = \{M \in M : M \subset B_N\}$ , thus the closure of  $M_N$  contains  $X_N$ . Since any polygon belongs to some  $X_N$ ,  $\bigcap_N M_N$  is dense in  $M$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** If  $n = 1$ ,  $M$  consists of parallelograms and Theorem 3 applies.

Let  $M$  be a matched polygon and let  $S_M$  be the corresponding surface (see proof of Theorem 3). The surface  $S_M$  is closed and orientable and its genus  $g(S_M)$  is determined by the combinatorics of  $M$ . The conformal structure on  $S_M$  induced from  $M$  is singular at the vertices if  $g(S_M) > 1$ . The singularities can be resolved and  $S_M$  becomes a surface of constant negative curvature  $[G]$ , but we are interested in the imposed on  $S_M$  flat conformal structure (with

singularities if  $g > 1$ ). We call such surfaces *almost flat* and denote their set by  $S$ .

Everything we said so far about matched polygons  $M$  extends to the case when  $M$  has selfoverlappings by regarding  $M$  as a union of polygons belonging to different copies of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and making natural identifications. From now on we allow  $M$  to have such selfoverlappings and denote the manifold of these polygons normalized as before by  $M$ . The mapping  $M \rightarrow S$  is, by definition, onto and is locally one-to-one and thus supplies  $S$  with a structure of a manifold. If  $S \in S$  we denote by  $L_{S,\theta}^t$  the family of linear flows on  $S$ . The following assertion is immediate from Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. Let  $S$  be the manifold of almost flat surfaces obtained from the set  $M$  of elementary matched polygons with a fixed number  $4n > 4$  of sides and a fixed combinatorics. For any  $\theta$  the set  $S_{\text{mix}}(\theta)$  of surfaces  $S$  such that the flow  $L_{S,\theta}^t$  is weakly mixing is a dense  $G_\delta$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $P$  be a rational polygon and let  $N$  be the least common multiple of the denominators of the angles  $\pi m_i/n_i$  of  $P$ . Reflecting  $P$  in its sides  $2N - 1$  times we obtain a matched polygon  $M$  [G]. The billiard flows  $B_{P,\theta}^t$  unfold into the linear flows  $L_{M,\theta}^t$  on  $M$ . Although  $M$  is not uniquely determined by  $P$ , the surface  $S_M$  does not depend on any particular way of unfolding  $P$  and  $S_M = S_P$ , the canonical surface defined by  $P$  [G]. Thus, we obtained a continuous mapping  $s:P \rightarrow S$ . Denote by  $D_N$  the dihedral group of order  $2N$ . The image of  $s$  consists of surfaces  $S$  with an action of  $D_N$  and we have  $P = s^{-1}(S) = S/D_N$ .

Now we apply this to the polygons of  $\Delta$ -class and notice that  $N = N(\Delta)$  is equal to 2, 3, 4 and 6 if  $\Delta$  is a rectangle, equilateral triangle,  $\pi/4$  and  $\pi/6$  triangle respectively. By fixing a way of unfolding  $P$  into  $M$  we obtain a continuous injective mapping  $u:P \rightarrow M$  where  $M$  consists of elementary matched polygons of type  $\alpha = \pi/2, \pi/6, \pi/2$  and  $\pi/6$  when  $N(\Delta) = 2, 3, 4$  and 6 respectively. We fix a direction  $\theta$  and delete  $\theta$  from our notation. Let  $M_N$  be the sequence of open sets introduced in the proof of Theorem 2. Since  $u$  is continuous

and commutes with the flows  $B_P^t$  and  $L_P^t$  on  $P$  and  $M$  respectively,  $P_N = u^{-1}(M_N)$  are open and  $P_{\text{mix}} = \bigcap_N P_N$ . It remains to show that  $P_{\text{mix}}$  is dense in  $P$ . We consider two cases in the theorem separately.

i) If  $\theta \neq 0, \pi/2$  we can choose a rectangle  $\Delta$ ,  $|\Delta| = 1$  such that  $\theta$  is irrational with respect to  $\Delta$ . Let  $e$  and  $f$  be the horizontal and the vertical vectors of  $\Delta$  respectively. For any  $r, s > 0$  denote by  $\Delta_{r,s}$  the rectangle spanned by  $re$  and  $sf$  and let  $P_I \subset P$  be the set of polygons which can be tiled by  $\Delta_{r,s}$  under reflections where  $r$  and  $s$  are rational. Clearly,  $P_I$  is a countable dense subset of  $P$ . The rest of the proof is analogous to the second part of the proof of Theorem 2. For  $P \in P_I$  we define the integers  $p(P)$  and  $q(P)$  and show that for any  $N$  the polygon  $P$  belongs to  $P_N$  if  $p(P)$  and  $q(P)$  are big enough. Thus,  $P_N$  is dense in  $P$ , therefore  $P_{\text{mix}} = \bigcap_N P_N$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ .

ii) We can no longer vary  $\Delta$  but if  $\theta$  is irrational (with respect to  $\Delta$ ) we can repeat the argument of i) with obvious modifications. We spare the details.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta) = P \times \{\theta\}$ . Choose a countable dense in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)$  sequence  $f_i(x, y; \theta)$  such that  $f_i$  continuously depend on  $\theta$  and for any fixed  $\theta$  the functions  $f_i(x, y; \theta)$  make a dense in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  sequence. The open sets  $P_N(\theta)$  defined similar to the sets  $M_N$  (cf. (2)), continuously depend on  $\theta$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}} \cap \mathcal{Q}(\theta) = P_{\text{mix}}(\theta) = \bigcap_N P_N(\theta)$ . Set  $\mathcal{Q}_N(\theta) = P_N(\theta) \times \{\theta\}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_N = \bigcup_\theta \mathcal{Q}_N(\theta)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{Q}_N(\theta)$  is open in  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta)$  for any  $\theta$  and depends continuously on  $\theta$ , the set  $\mathcal{Q}_N$  is open. The intersection  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta) \cap (\bigcup_N \mathcal{Q}_N) = \bigcap_N \mathcal{Q}_N(\theta) = P_{\text{mix}}(\theta) \times \{\theta\} = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}} \cap \mathcal{Q}(\theta)$ , hence,  $\bigcap_N \mathcal{Q}_N = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}}$  is a  $G_\delta$ . Since  $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}} \cap \mathcal{Q}(\theta)$  is dense

in  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta)$  at least for irrational  $\theta$  which are dense in  $S^1$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mix}}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

□

#### References

- [G] E. Gutkin, Billiards on almost integrable polyhedral surfaces, Erg. Th. and Dyn. Syst., 4, N4(1984), 569-584.
- [H] P. R. Halmos, Lectures on Ergodic Theory, Tokyo, 1956.

- [K1] A. Katok, Constructions in Ergodic Theory, Part 1, preprint, to appear in Birkhauser, Progress in Math.
- [K2] A. Katok, Ergodicity of generic irrational billiards, Abstracts from workshop on 2-manifolds and Geometry, Oct. 1984, MSRI, Jan. 1986.
- [K3] A. Katok, The growth rate for the number of singular and periodic orbits for a polygonal billiard, preprint, 1984.
- [K4] A. Katok, Interval exchange transformations and some special flows are not mixing, Israel J. of Math. 35 (1980), 301-310.
- [KMS] S. Kerckhoff, H. Masur, J. Smillie, Ergodicity of billiard flows and quadratic differentials, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [S] E. A. Sataev, On the number of invariant measures for flows on orientable surfaces, Math. of the USSR, Izvestija 9(1975), 813-830.
- [W] P. Walters, An introduction to ergodic theory, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [ZK] A Zemlyakov, A. Katok, Topological transitivity of billiards in polygons, Math. Notes of the USSR Acad. Sci. 18 N2(1975), 760-764.